

# Techniques for Demonstrating Cleaning Effectiveness of Ultrafiltration Membranes

■ A Case Study: Pellicon®2 Cassette Processing of Therapeutic Proteins



Figure 1. Pellicon family of cassettes ranging from 50 cm<sup>2</sup> to 2.5 m<sup>2</sup> of filter area.

*Tangential flow filtration cartridges designed to isolate, purify and concentrate therapeutic biomolecules are typically reused for multiple process cycles. After each process run, the system is cleaned to remove foulants and contaminants from the membrane in preparation for the next cycle. To maintain optimal membrane performance, biotech and pharmaceutical manufacturers must establish effective, reproducible cleaning techniques to prevent microbiological contamination and ensure against cross contamination of batches. Effective and consistent membrane cleaning after each process cycle is the single most important factor in maintaining system performance.*

*Increasing regulatory requirements and proactive plant improvements are spurring the development of new methods to evaluate cleaning effectiveness leading to improved cleaning validation protocols. In this study, the cleaning procedures for Pellicon 2 cassettes (Figure 1) are evaluated in the processing of human serum therapeutic proteins. Techniques are presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of cleaning procedures for Pellicon 2 cassettes with Biomax®10 polyethersulfone and Ultracel™ PLCGC regenerated cellulose membranes.*

## Measuring Cleaning Effectiveness

An effective cleaning protocol removes residual protein, foulants, and other contaminants from the membrane surface and cassette feed channels, and restores the membrane so that performance returns to a predictable, consistent level. Key elements to monitor when assessing cleaning effectiveness include:

- Normal Water Permeability
- Flush Water Residuals
- Process Reproducibility
- Physical Analysis of Contamination Through Destructive Techniques

Measurements of normalized water permeability (NWP), process flux reproducibility, and residual total organic carbon (TOC) in the membrane flushing streams directly indicate both the effectiveness and consistency of the cleaning procedures.

Visual assessment and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis of the membrane surface are destructive tests that may be used during the development phase to evaluate the membrane and device after cleaning.

Selection of cleaning chemicals, flow and pressure conditions, cycle times and cleaning sequences are key elements in a cleaning protocol. Guidelines and process conditions are specific for the membrane type, device configuration, and process fluid, and are provided in Instruction Manuals for specific Millipore products. For Pellicon products refer to: Pellicon 2 Instruction Manual P35472 Pellicon XL Instruction Manual P60085

## Normal Water Permeability (NWP)

Normalized water permeability (NWP) is an established method for determining the cleanliness of a cassette after cleaning. This method involves measuring the passage of clean water through the membrane under standard pressure and temperature conditions (Figure 2). The rate of clean water flux through the membrane is measured as liters per membrane area per hour ( $L/m^2 \cdot h$ ). Water flux divided by the transmembrane pressure is the normal water permeability or NWP ( $L/m^2 \cdot h \cdot bar$ ). The NWP values are compared to initial (pre-process) levels and may be analyzed for trends over time.

Fouled membranes typically have NWP values that are significantly less than 50% of the membrane's original NWP specification because of adsorption of materials such as proteins on the membrane surface. Cleaning cycles remove these foulants by oxidizing, emulsifying and removing the foulants from the membrane surface. After cleaning, if the membrane NWP is  $\pm 20\%$  of the pre-run NWP value, process stream reproducibility will result. The acceptance criterion for cleaning efficacy is membrane and application specific, and may vary between plants.

The procedures for measuring NWP are:

- Fill tank with clean water
- Set standard conditions
- Record water permeability rates, pressure, and temperature

## TOC as a Measurement of Flushing Effectiveness

In commercial (TFF) applications, the membrane cassette and system are flushed to displace storage and cleaning solutions, and to remove residual process materials. TOC measurements of the permeate and retentate flush streams provide a reliable and highly sensitive means of detecting organic contamination in a cassette (Figure 3). Because of its sensitivity, TOC is becoming the preferred method for identifying contamination from inade-

quate cleaning protocols, product carryover between batches, and cross-contamination of products exposed to the same process equipment. The flush cycle performed immediately prior to the process feed is termed the "critical flush" and is the most important cycle to identify trace contaminants using the TOC analysis. Typical TFF system critical flush volumes of  $20 L/m^2$  of membrane are used and should yield TOC values below a 1.0 ppm level.

The procedures are summarized as follows:

- Fill clean tank with water-for-injection (WFI)
- Divert permeate and retentate lines
- Set standard flush conditions
- Sample flush water over time
- Measure TOC




Figure 2. Generalized process schematic to measure Normal Water Permeability (NWP).




Figure 3. Generalized schematic for collecting samples to measure TOC during the critical flush cycle.

## Demonstrating Cleaning Effectiveness in a TFF System




Figure 4. Key elements demonstrating cleaning effectiveness in a TFF system.

## Process Reproducibility

The goal of a cleaning cycle is to ensure consistent process performance on a product stream. Reproducible process flux and predictable product yield are directly related to membrane cleaning. Long-term monitoring of the process and cleaning performance provides a means to proactively address potential operating problems and enhances overall process consistency.

## Examination for Physical Evidence of Foulants

After many process cycles, the feed screen and membrane surface can be examined for residual protein by autopsying the cassette to determine cleaning effectiveness. The surface of the cleaned membrane may also be analyzed by high resolution FTIR spectrum for evidence of protein foulants and compared to the FTIR of an unused membrane sample. This procedure is a destructive technique that may be used to assist in validating a cleaning protocol.

## Case Study:

### Experimental Technique

Pellicon 2 cassettes were subjected to multiple processing and cleaning cycles on a human serum albumin (HSA) feed solution concentrating from 2% to 25% protein and in separate tests with a solution of 8% Fraction 1 protein. Biomax 10 (polyethersulfone) and Ultracel PLCGC (regenerated cellulose) membranes were chosen to repre-

sent two different membrane formulations. Membranes were exposed to identical process environments under production-scale conditions to compare performance and demonstrate applicability.

Multiple 2-hour concentration cycles established process reproducibility. Worst-case conditions were simulated during extended process cycles (up to 19.5 hours) at the maximum commercial protein concentration.

After processing, membrane cassettes were flushed with 1% NaCl at 10 °C and cleaned independently according to membrane type: Biomax membranes were cleaned with a caustic/chlorine procedure (0.25N NaOH, 250 ppm sodium hypochlorite); and Ultracel membranes were cleaned with a caustic-only (0.25N NaOH) procedure. The duration of both membrane cleaning cycles was 1 hour at 40 °C. Operating conditions were 2.0/0.5 bar with a crossflow of 6 lpm/m<sup>2</sup>. Following each cleaning cycle, WFI flush water TOC levels were tracked in the permeate and retentate lines, and NWP was measured at standard conditions. The key elements in operation of the pilot system were to:

- Match process-scale conditions
- Perform multiple process runs
- Compare flux performance
- Concentrate to maximum levels




Figure 5. Generalized pilot schematic for HSA cleaning trials.


## Case Study: Results

### Consistent Return of Water Permeability

Membrane cleaning procedures effectively restored NWP following each process trial. When compared to "Pre-Run" NWP, the combined caustic and hypochlorite regime for the Biomax membrane and the caustic-only cleaning for the Ultracel returned NWP values to near-initial levels. The lower NWP values of the Ultracel membrane compared to Biomax is an inherent membrane trait related to membrane composition and is not indicative of process flux.

### Water Permeability After Cleaning

Sequential Process Runs




### Consistent Process Performance

Both the Biomax and Ultracel membranes demonstrated reproducible and predictable flux in all trials. Ultracel flux was stable at high protein concentrations during extended runs, demonstrating the low fouling nature of the regenerated cellulose membrane. Stable process pressures and flow conditions indicate absence of plugging and suitability of cassette design.

### Human Serum Albumin Performance

Biomax 10 and Ultracel PLCGC Cassettes



### No Protein Loss

Samples of composite permeate from each process run were analyzed for protein using the biuret method. No protein loss was detected in the permeate, indicating greater than 99.9% protein yield.

### HSA Permeate Composite Loss (% w/v)


Run Number	Ultracel PLCGC	Biomax 10
1	0.00	0.01
2	0.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00
7	-	0.00
8	-	0.00
9	-	0.00

## Case Study: Results


### Low Protein Carryover After Flushing

Residual protein carryover between process runs is readily detected by TOC analysis of the flush waters. Cassettes of both membranes demonstrated efficient flushing to less than 500 parts per billion (ppb) TOC at flush volumes of 10 to 20 L/m<sup>2</sup> of membrane area.

#### Flushing Efficiency of Biomax 10 Cassettes



#### Flushing Efficiency of Ultracel PLCGC Cassettes



## Case Study: Results


### No Adsorptive Fouling on Ultracel Membrane

After multiple process cycles, an autopsy revealed no visible foulants or protein material in the cleaned Ultracel membrane cassette. Comparing the FTIR spectrum to that of a new membrane confirmed the absence of protein on the cleaned Ultracel membrane surface.

### Cleaning Results

- Both membrane types show return of NWP to original values
- Complete restoration of process flux
- Flushing studies show low residual protein
- FTIR shows no adsorption on Ultracel membrane

### FTIR Analysis of the Ultracel PLCGC Membrane Surface After Fraction 1 Processing



## Case Study: Results

### Residual TOC in a New Membrane

Pellicon cassettes are shipped with storage preservative to prevent microorganism growth and to maintain membrane performance specifications. The TOC test allows for a simple technique to verify removal of

the organic based preservative. To remove the preservative, new cassettes were clean-water flushed with permeate and retentate directed to drain. Measurement of permeate and retentate TOC residuals of a new cassette and successive flushes (indicated as 1-4 in figure 6)

demonstrated the removal of residual TOC to below 1.0 ppm after the first flush using 20 L/m<sup>2</sup> of WFI flush water. Repeated cleaning and flush cycles show some additional removal of TOC down to the 200 ppb level.





Figure 6. Experimental Sequence For New Membrane Flushing Trials



## Membrane Selection

Pellicon 2 cassettes with either Biomax 10 or Ultracel PLGC membranes demonstrate process consistency and reproducible cleanability. Both types are the latest generation of void-free, controlled pore membranes designed for high flux and excellent product retention. Membrane selection may be based on preference for a specific chemical cleaning procedure, membrane type, or other process attribute.

The techniques used for evaluating cassette cleanability may be extended to other Millipore tangential flow products when there is a need to demonstrate process consistency and reproducible cleanability with membrane-specific cleaning procedures. Ask your Millipore Applications Specialist for additional guidance on cleaning optimization, enhancing yields and improving membrane performance.

Membrane Type	Biomax 10	Ultracel
Membrane	Polyethersulfone	Regenerated cellulose
Molecular weight cut-off	5 kd – 1000 kd	1 kd – 1000 kd
Relative protein binding	Low to medium, use with >0.1 mg/mL protein solutions	Ultra-low, far superior for use with dilute protein solutions
pH range	1 – 14	2 – 13
Chemical compatibility	250 ppm sodium hypochlorite	Use with anti-foams resists organic solvents
Cleaning	Easy to clean	Easy to Clean
Common attributes	Excellent protein retention High process flux Long membrane life	

## To Place an Order or Receive Technical Assistance

For additional information call your nearest Millipore office:

In the U.S. and Canada, call toll-free **1-800-MILLIPORE (1-800-645-5476)**

In the U.S., Canada and Puerto Rico, fax orders to **1-800-MILLIFX (1-800-645-5439)**

On the Internet

<http://www.millipore.com>

E-mail: [tech\\_service@millipore.com](mailto:tech_service@millipore.com)

## Millipore Worldwide

### AUSTRALIA

Tel. 1 800 222 111  
or (02) 9888 8999  
Fax (02) 9878 0788

### AUSTRIA

Tel. (01) 877-8926  
Fax (01) 877-1654

### BALTIC COUNTRIES

Tel. +358 9 804 5110  
Fax +358 9 256 5660

### BELGIUM AND LUXEMBOURG

Tel. (02) 726 88 40  
Fax (02) 726 98 84

### BRAZIL

Tel. (011) 5548-7011  
Fax (011) 5548-7923

### CANADA

Tel. 1-800-645-5476  
Fax 1-800-645-5439

### CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF

Beijing:  
Tel. (8610) 8519-1250  
(8610) 8519-1058  
Fax (8610) 8519-1255  
Guangzhou:  
Tel. (8620) 8755-4021  
Fax (8620) 8755-4350  
Hong Kong:  
Tel. (852) 2803-9111  
Fax (852) 2513-0313  
Shanghai:  
Tel. (8621) 5306-9100  
Fax (8621) 5306-0838

### CZECH REPUBLIC

Tel. 02-2051 3841  
02-2051 3842  
Fax 02-2051 4298

### DENMARK

Tel. 70 10 00 23  
Fax 70 10 13 14

### EASTERN EUROPE, C.I.S., AFRICA, MIDDLE EAST AND GULF

Tel. (43) 1 877-8926  
Fax (43) 1 877-1654

### FINLAND

Tel. (09) 804 5110  
Fax (09) 256 5660

### FRANCE

Tel. (01) 30.12.70.00  
Fax (01) 30.12.71.80

### GERMANY

Tel. (06196) 494-0  
Fax (06196) 43901

### HUNGARY

Tel. 1-205 9784  
Fax 1-205 9792

### INDIA

Tel. (91) 80-839 46 57  
Fax (91) 80-839 63 45

### ITALY

Vimodrone (Milano):  
Tel. (02) 25.07.81  
Fax (02) 26.50.324  
Roma:  
Tel. (06) 52.03.600  
Fax (06) 52.95.735

### JAPAN

Tel. (03) 5442-9711  
Fax (03) 5442-9736

### KOREA

Tel. (822) 551-0990  
Fax (822) 551-0228

### MALAYSIA

Tel. 603-757 1322  
Fax 603-757 1711

### MEXICO

Tel. (525) 576-9688  
Fax (525) 576-8706

### THE NETHERLANDS

Tel. 076-5022000  
Fax 076-5022436

### NORWAY

Tel. 22 67 82 53  
Fax 22 66 04 60

### POLAND

Tel. 22-669 12 25  
22-663 70 31  
Fax 22-663 70 33

### PUERTO RICO

Tel. (787) 273-8495  
Fax (787) 747-6553

### SINGAPORE

Tel. (65) 842 1822  
Fax (65) 842 4988

### SPAIN AND PORTUGAL

Madrid:  
Tel. 917 283 960  
Fax 917 292 909  
Barcelona:  
Tel. 934 525 530  
Fax 934 516 048

### SWEDEN

Tel. 08-628 6960  
Fax 08-628 6457

### SWITZERLAND

Volketswil:  
Tel. (01) 908-30-60  
Fax (01) 908-30-80  
Lausanne:  
Tel. (021) 641 2550  
Fax (021) 641 2551

### TAIWAN

Taipei:  
Tel. (886-2) 700-1742  
Fax (886-2) 755-3267  
Hsin Chu City:  
Tel. (886-3) 571-0178  
Fax (886-3) 572-9520

### U.K. AND IRELAND

Tel. (01923) 816375  
Fax (01923) 818297

### U.S.A.

Tel. (781) 533-6000  
Fax (781) 533-3110

### IN ALL OTHER COUNTRIES

Millipore Intertech (U.S.A.)  
Tel. +1 (781) 533-8622  
Fax +1 (781) 533-8630

# MILLIPORE

Ultracel is a trademark of Millipore Corporation or an affiliated company.

Pellicon, Biomax and Millipore are trademarks of Millipore Corporation or an affiliated company.

Triton is a trademark of Rohm and Haas Company.

Lit. No. TB1502EN00

Printed in U.S.A. 12/00 00-237

© 2000 Millipore Corporation or an affiliated company, Bedford, MA. All rights reserved.